

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Garrett A. Fail

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
: :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.* : 08-13555 (SCC)
: :
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
----- x

**CERTIFICATE OF NO OBJECTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1746 REGARDING THE
PLAN ADMINISTRATOR'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 412 FILED BY HANA
BANK/WOORI CREDIT SUISSE ASSET MANAGEMENT CO., LTD.**

TO THE HONORABLE SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and in accordance with this Court's case management procedures set forth in the Second Amended Order Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 1015(c) and 9007 Implementing Certain Notice and Case Management Procedures [ECF No. 9635] (the "Second Amended Case Management Order"), the undersigned hereby certifies as follows:

1. On February 23, 2015, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (the "Plan Administrator"), as Plan Administrator under the *Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors*, filed the Objection to Claim No. 412 Held By Hana Bank/Woori Credit Suisse Asset Management Co., Ltd. [ECF No. 48615] (the "Objection") with the Court for hearing.

2. In accordance with the Second Amended Case Management Order, the Plan Administrator established a deadline (the “Response Deadline”) for parties to object or file responses to the Objection. The Response Deadline was set for March 25, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. The Second Amended Case Management Order provides that pleadings may be granted without a hearing, provided that no objections or other responsive pleadings have been filed on or prior to the relevant response deadline and the attorney for the entity who filed the pleading complies with the relevant procedural and notice requirements.

3. The Response Deadline has now passed and, to the best of my knowledge, no responsive pleadings to the Objection have been (a) filed with the Court on the docket of the above-referenced cases in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Second Amended Case Management Order, or (b) served on counsel to the Plan Administrator.

4. Accordingly, the Plan Administrator respectfully requests that the proposed order granting the Objection annexed hereto as Exhibit A, which is unmodified since the filing of the Objection, be entered in accordance with the procedures described in the Second Amended Case Management Order.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 6, 2015
New York, New York

/s/ Garrett A. Fail
Garrett A. Fail

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

*Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates*

Exhibit A

Proposed Order

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re : **Chapter 11 Case No.**
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.* : **08-13555 (SCC)**
Debtors. : **(Jointly Administered)**

**ORDER GRANTING THE PLAN
ADMINISTRATOR'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 412 FILED BY
HANA BANK/WOORI CREDIT SUISSE MANAGEMENT CO., LTD.**

Upon the objection to proof of claim number 412 (the “Duplicate Claim”) filed by Hana Bank/Woori Credit Suisse Management Co., Ltd. (the “Claimant”), dated February 23, 2015 (the “Objection”),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors, in accordance with section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, seeking disallowance and expungement of the Duplicate Claim on the basis that such claim is duplicative of the Surviving Claims, all as more fully described in the Objection; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the Claimant; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interests

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection.

of the Chapter 11 Estates, their creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Objection is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Duplicate Claim is disallowed and expunged in its entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that the Surviving Claims will remain on the claims register subject to the Plan Administrator's right to further object as set forth herein; and it is further

ORDERED that all information included on and all documentation filed in support of the Duplicate Claim, including, but not limited to, derivative and guarantee questionnaires and supporting documentation, shall be treated as having been filed in support of and included in the Surviving Claims; and it is further

ORDERED that nothing in this Order or the disallowance and expungement of the Duplicate Claim constitutes any admission or finding with respect to the Surviving Claims, and the Plan Administrator's rights to object to the Surviving Claims on any basis are preserved; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2015
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE